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The intersection between environmental chemicals and child development is a new area of public health science. It is only in the past few years that we have begun to grasp the potential health effects of even slight disturbances in child development. So much hinges on understanding the effects of environmental chemicals on these processes: developmental disabilities, including attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, autism, and related neurodevelopmental diseases, affect millions of American children. The consequences of these disorders are often tragic. The familial, societal and economic costs are immense, and the disabilities can be life-long.

In the last two decades there has been an explosion of neurobiological research into attention, memory and other cognitive functions. In addition, the patterns and stages of normal brain development are now well understood. This new knowledge has given us a better understanding of the special vulnerability of the developing nervous system to the internal chemical environment. It is now clear from studies of animals and children that subtle changes in the concentrations of normally occurring chemicals such as hormones—as well as the presence of toxic agents like lead, mercury or PCBs—can produce profound and permanent changes in the developing nervous system. These changes can lead to decrements in mental performance and alterations of the reproductive system.

A picture is unfolding supported by a variety of laboratory, clinical and epidemiological research that suggests that neurotoxic chemicals in the environment may play a role in developmental disabilities. The implications of this notion are profound. If we can understand the role of environmental chemicals in neurodevelopmental disorders, we can take concrete steps toward the prevention of these disorders. By reducing and eliminating exposures to specific environmental chemicals through the use of regulatory bans, development and promotion of alternative agents and exposure minimization, we may, in time, be able to reduce the occurrence of neurodevelopmental disability. We may even be able to prevent some disabilities from ever again limiting a child’s potential, an extraordinary prospect.
Yet such potential is often obscured by a voluminous and at times confusing scientific literature. In Harm’s Way is an analysis of that literature. Ted Schettler and his co-authors have prepared a text on neurodevelopmental disorders and environmental chemicals that makes a complex body of scientific information accessible to health professionals and the scientifically literate general public.

The authors elucidate the evidence for specific scientific claims and help readers understand what is known and what is conjectured. They begin with two linked observations: (1) that developmental disabilities are common in American children; and (2) that the causes of these disabilities are largely unknown. In Harm’s Way presents an elegant discussion of normal brain development and explores why these developmental processes are so vulnerable to environmental insult. It goes on to highlight a series of case studies describing chemicals in the environment that are known to disrupt brain development in laboratory animals and in children.

One of the difficulties in talking about neurodevelopmental disabilities is that these disorders are not easily defined. They do not lend themselves to simple diagnostic tests like blood sugar in diabetes or the EEG in epilepsy. They are defined in loose clinical or behavioral terms and often present as a range or spectrum of behaviors. At what point is difficulty learning diagnosed as a learning disability? When does inattention qualify as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder? While clinicians have devised ways of answering these and other similar questions, the labeling problem remains at the heart of efforts to understand patterns of neurobehavioral disabilities. The most basic of public health research efforts—a simple count of the number of cases—persists as a stumbling block, fraught with contested assumptions and rival criteria.

As one example of its eminently trenchant analysis, In Harm’s Way carefully reviews the labeling problem such that clinicians, basic scientists, policy makers, advocates, and parents can forge shared understanding. Such is the usefulness of In Harm’s Way. Throughout, it identifies some of the areas of greatest confusion in this new field, and delineates the underlying logic and lines of evidence. As a result, this book is sure to inform discussions among representatives of widely varying disciplines.

We stand at the brink of an era that will almost certainly see the identification of the causes of a wide range of neurobehavioral disorders. It will also enable preventive measures to be taken. In Harm’s Way has clarified a starting point for this next era of environmental health.

Not-for-profit health advocacy organizations are now major players in health and environmental policy making. The successful passage of the Clean Air Act Reauthorization in 1996 was
achieved by the efforts of advocacy
groups supporting the EPA. The
same organizations, known in the
United Nations as non-governmental
organization (NGOs), are now
participants in international treaty
negotiations. Previously excluded from
environmental health discussions in the
UN and the World Health Organization,
NGOs in the last 10 years have
achieved a place at the negotiating
table. To accomplish this, the not-for-
profit advocacy organizations have
become more effective politically
and more professional scientifically.

The best of these organizations are
able to provide scientifically credible
information as an alternative point of
view to government and industry. They
can synthesize and review data in what
are often emerging fields with contra-
dictory signposts. Nowhere are the
benefits of this capability more evident
than in the field of environmental health. Experts from advocacy organizations
now sit as scientific peers with represen-
tatives of government, academia and
industry, as, for example, on the EPA’s
recently concluded Endocrine Disruptor
Screening and Testing Advisory Committee.

Physicians for Social Responsibility
(PSR) is an NGO at the forefront of
efforts to establish for both the public
and for policy makers the present state
of the science in environmental health.
In 1994, PSR released Critical Condition:
Human Health and the Environment,
edited by Eric Chivian, which gave
a broad overview of the connection
between health and global environ-
mental change. In 1999, Ted Schettler
and Maria Valenti, along with Gina
Solomon and Annette Huddle, authored
Generations at Risk: Reproductive
Health and the Environment, in which
they analyzed the science of reproductive
health damage by chemical pollution. In
other professional capacities physicians
associated with PSR have participated in
scientific analysis of the health effects of
global warming and reemerging infectious
disease as well as of biodiversity and
species loss. With In Harm’s Way, PSR
lends its characteristic clarity to the field
of children’s environmental health. PSR—
both the Greater Boston Chapter and its
National Office—is to be commended
for commissioning this important work.

The present situation of
environmental health argues for
precaution. We have apparently
increasing incidence of significant
developmental disabilities. We have plausible biological mechanisms connecting environmental toxicants with health effects, as demonstrated in laboratory animals. We have accumulating evidence of neurotoxic damage to children by environmental agents, such as lead and PCBs. The authors of In Harm’s Way provide compelling, scientifically documented arguments laying out the next steps we as a society must take: we must increase our understanding of the neurotoxicity of chemical agents now in the environment, and we must adopt public health policies that limit the exposure of fetuses and children to environmental chemicals.

Philip Landrigan M.D., M.Sc
Director
The Center for Children’s Health and the Environment
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York, NY
April, 2000